



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/759,669	01/16/2004	Chen-Chu Huang	24061.497	8592
42717	7590	09/11/2006		
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 3100 DALLAS, TX 75202			EXAMINER KIM, PAUL	
			ART UNIT 2161	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 09/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/759,669

Applicant(s)

HUANG ET AL.

Examiner

Paul Kim

Art Unit

2161

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 January 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-56 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 January 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.


SAM RIMELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/19/2004</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the following communication: Original Application filed on 16 January 2004.

2. Claims 1-56 are pending and present for examination. Claims 1, 8, 15, 22, 29, 36, 43 and 50 are independent.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 19 March 2004 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description:

- Figure 4a, Reference character 215; and
- Figure 5, Reference character 330.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:
- a. Claim 1 refers to "the permission semaphore the first database." It is believed that claim 1 was intended to recite "the permission semaphore to the first database" and has been treated as such for the remainder of this Office action. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. **Claims 1, 3-4, 8, 10-11, 15, 17-18, 22, 24-25, 29, 31-32, 36, 38-39, 43, 45-46, 50, and 52-53** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morganstern (U.S. Patent 5,970,490, hereinafter referred to as MORGANSTERN), filed on 4 November 1997, and issued on 19 October 1999, in view of Chen et al (USPGPUB 2004/0024852, hereinafter referred to as CHEN), filed on 30 July 2002, and published on 5 February 2004.
8. **As per independent claims 1, 8, 15, 22, 29, 36, 43 and 50**, MORGANSTERN, in combination with CHEN, discloses:

A method for synchronizing data retained in a plurality of heterogeneous databases comprising the steps of:

extracting said data from a first database of said heterogeneous databases retained on said first database retention device {See MORGANSTERN, C3:L23-27, wherein this reads over "mediators and information bridges each of which can access heterogeneous data resources and transform that information for use by databases and specialized representations"; and C5:L58-60, wherein this reads over "the information bridge completes the transformation process and imports the external data into this specialized representation"};

converting said extracted data from a first format of said first database to format types of all remaining of the plurality of heterogeneous databases {See MORGANSTERN, C2:L60-C3:L1, wherein this reads over "transforming the source data into a common intermediate representation of the data using the specifications"; and C3:L23-27, wherein this reads

Art Unit: 2161

over "mediators and information bridges each of which can access heterogeneous data resources and transform that information for use by databases and specialized representations");

attaching the data converted to the format types of the remaining plurality of databases {See MORGANSTERN, C5:L36-48, wherein this reads over "[t]he target representation may be another database with a different data model and schema, or the target may be a specialized data structure"};

receiving a permission semaphore indicating that the data is synchronized among the plurality of databases {See CHEN, [0036], wherein this reads over "a resource checks the appropriate semaphore to determine if the resource is available, and if so, that component 'takes' the semaphore by setting the flag to indicate that resource is not available"}; and

transferring the permission semaphore to the first database to authorize usage of said first database {See CHEN, [0036], wherein this reads over "[o]nce the component is finished with the resource, the component sets the flag to indicate that the resource is available"}.

The combination of the inventions disclosed in MORGANSTERN and CHEN would disclose a method wherein data is extracted and converted to uniform format, and thereafter transmitting permission semaphores to indicate the synchronization of data among the plurality of heterogeneous databases. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the inventions suggested by MORGANSTERN and CHEN.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this modification in order to synchronize data from manufacturing information systems into a common format.

9. **As per dependent claims 3, 10, 17, 24, 31, 38, 45 and 52**, MORGANSTERN, in combination with CHEN, discloses:

The method of claim 15 wherein receiving a permission semaphore comprises the step of transferring a status indication for the remaining plurality of heterogeneous databases indicating the completion of the synchronization {See CHEN, [0036], wherein this reads over "[o]nce the component is finished with the resource, the component sets the flag to indicate that the resource is available"}.

The combination of the inventions disclosed in MORGANSTERN and CHEN would disclose a method wherein data is extracted and converted to uniform format, and thereafter transmitting permission semaphores to indicate the synchronization of data among the plurality of heterogeneous databases. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the inventions suggested by MORGANSTERN and CHEN.

Art Unit: 2161

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this modification since semaphores are commonly used as indicators that a certain process has completed.

10. **As per dependent claims 4, 11, 18, 25, 32, 39, 46 and 53**, MORGANSTERN, in combination with CHEN, discloses:

The method of claim 15 further comprising the steps of: reviewing by a database manager the data converted to the format types of the remaining plurality of databases; and generating one permission semaphore for each of the remaining plurality of heterogeneous databases.

In light of Applicant's disclosure that "a document manager is either a person responsible for reviewing changes, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was claimed that a person may be able to review converted data and generate permission semaphores.

11. **Claims 2, 9, 16, 23, 30, 37, 44 and 51** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MORGANSTERN, in view of CHEN, and in further view of Ishibashi et al (USPGPUB No. 20020138733, hereinafter referred to as ISHIBASHI), filed on 15 February 2001, and published on 2002/0138733.

12. **As per dependent claims 2, 9, 16, 23, 30, 37, 44 and 51**, MORGANSTERN, in combination with CHEN and ISHIBASHI, discloses:

The method of claim 15 wherein converting said extracted data comprises the step of creating an identification of the data that includes a data identifier and a serial number {See ISHIBASHI, Figures 4-5; and [0078], wherein this reads over "[t]he contents identifier (ID) and the serial number are data corresponding to data in the contents"}.

The combination of the inventions disclosed in MORGANSTERN, CHEN and ISHIBASHI would disclose a method wherein a data identifier and a serial number are created to identify data. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the inventions suggested by MORGANSTERN, CHEN and ISHIBASHI.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this modification in order to distinguish data of a database, process, or system from data of other heterogeneous databases, processes, or systems.

Art Unit: 2161

13. **Claims 5-7, 12-14, 19-21, 26-28, 33-35, 40-42, 47-49, and 54-56** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MORGANSTERN, in view of CHEN, and in further view of Beauchesne (U.S. Patent No. 5,777,876, hereinafter referred to as BEAUCHESNE), filed on 29 December 1995, and issued on 7 July 1998.

14. **As per dependent claim 5, 12, 19, 26, 33, 40, 47 and 54**, MORGANSTERN, in combination with CHEN and BEAUCHESNE, discloses:

The method of claim 15 wherein the first database is a manufacturing information system specification database retaining equipment environment and operational settings of equipment of a manufacturing facility {See BEAUCHESNE, Abstract, wherein this reads over "[a] database system provides a manufacturing factory environment which integrates a plurality of manufacturing processes . . . provid[ing] a predetermined number of control table structures in memory for storing predetermined types of control parameter entries used in controlling the manufacturing process"}.

The combination of the inventions disclosed in MORGANSTERN, CHEN and BEAUCHESNE would disclose a method wherein a database is used to retain data from a manufacturing information system, specifically equipment environment and operational settings of the equipment. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the inventions suggested by MORGANSTERN, CHEN and BEAUCHESNE.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this modification in order to store and process data regarding the aforementioned manufacturing information system.

15. **As per dependent claim 6, 13, 20, 27, 34, 41, 48 and 55**, MORGANSTERN, in combination with CHEN and BEAUCHESNE, discloses:

The method of claim 19 wherein the manufacturing information specification database provides coding for controlling an operation of equipment of an integrated circuit fabrication facility {See BEAUCHESNE, Abstract, wherein this reads over "[a] database system provides a manufacturing factory environment which integrates a plurality of manufacturing processes . . . provid[ing] a predetermined number of control table structures in memory for storing predetermined types of control parameter entries used in controlling the manufacturing process"}.

16. **As per dependent claim 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, 42, 49 and 56**, MORGANSTERN, in combination with CHEN and BEAUCHESNE, discloses:

The method of claim 19 wherein the remaining plurality of heterogeneous databases is included in a content management system documenting the environment and the operational settings of the equipment of a manufacturing facility {See BEAUCHESNE,

Art Unit: 2161

Abstract, wherein this reads over "[a] database system provides a manufacturing factory environment which integrates a plurality of manufacturing processes . . . provid[ing] a predetermined number of control table structures in memory for storing predetermined types of control parameter entries used in controlling the manufacturing process".

Conclusion

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2737. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christian Chase can be reached on (571) 272-4190. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paul Kim
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2161


SAM RIMELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER